

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/493,530	01/28/2000	Duane J. Pontbriand	60.158-107	2373		
26096 7	7590 09/23/2002					
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 PURMINISHAM MI 48000			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			NOLAN, SANDRA M			
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1772	15		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AS-1	
		Appli	cati n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/49	93,530	PONTBRIAND ET	PONTBRIAND ET AL.	
		Exam	iner	Art Unit		
			a M. Nolan	1772		
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	nicati n appears or	n the cover sheet (with the correspondence ac	Idress	
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for reference in	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN e may be available under the provisions STHS from the mailing date of this come eply specified above is less than thirty (3 eply is specified above, the maximum sithin the set or extended period for reply d by the Office later than three months m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.	
1)⊠ Respoi	nsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>09 July 200</u>	<u>02</u> .			
2a)⊠ This ac	ction is FINAL .	2b) This actio	n is non-final.			
	his application is in conditio in accordance with the pracaims				ne merits is	
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-20</u> is/are p	ending in the appl	ication.			
4a) Of th	e above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	n consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10-14,17 and 18</u> is	/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>15, 16, 19, and 20</u> is/are o	bjected to.				
8) Claim(s Application Pape) are subject to restri ers	ction and/or election	on requirement.			
9)∏ The spec	cification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)∐ The draw	ving(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or t	o) objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applica	int may not request that any ob	jection to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The prop	osed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)[☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examir	ier.	
If appro	eved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to the	is Office action.			
12) The oath	or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknow	ledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)∐ Ali b) Some * c) None of:					
1.□ C	ertified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.			
2. C	ertified copies of the priority	documents have	been received in	Application No		
	opies of the certified copies application from the Inter attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)) .	Stage	
	dgment is made of a claim				al application).	
a) 🗌 The	translation of the foreign la	nguage provisiona	al application has	been received.	,,	
Attachment(s)	.		-	- -		
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (I dosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/493,530

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

- 1. Pursuant to entry of the amendment dated July 9, 2002 (Paper No. 14), claims 1,
- 2, 4-8 and 10-20 are pending. Claims 13-20 were added via Paper No. 14.

Rejection Withdrawn

2. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 8-12 as indefinite, as explained in section 5 of the April 9, 2002 Office Action (Paper No. 13), is hereby withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to claim 8 in Paper No. 14.

Rejection Maintained

3. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 10-12, now claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14,17, and 18, as unpatentable over Wong et al (US 5,178,902) in view of Tanaka et al (US 5,993,975), as set out in section 8 of Paper No. 13, is maintained for reasons of record.

As to the intermediate substrates recited in new claims 13-14,17 and18, Tanaka suggests them. Note that Tanaka teaches, as substrates, zinc alloy plated steel (col. 7, lines 4-5) and "electro-zinc" plated steel (col. 7, line 5). "Electro-zinc" is deemed to mean electroplated zinc.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15, 16, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Respons to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper No. 14 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments in Paper No. 14 will be responded to in the order in which they were presented.

On page 3, applicants argue that their amendment to claim 18 overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 8-12.

The Examiner agrees. See section 2, *supra*.

On page 3, applicants argue that the 35 USC 103 rejection over Wong in view of Tanaka is improper because employing a single layer coating as disclosed in Tanaka would ruin the benefits of Wong, which calls for protective polyolefin sheath over an epoxy covering.

However, the rejection says that it would be obvious to employ the coatings of Tanaka to render the steel pipes of Wong more resistant to corrosion, impact and scratching. It does not say that it would be obvious to combine the coatings of Wong with those of Tanaka. Thus, the alleged "combining [of] all layers of Wong into one layer" is not part of the 35 USC 103 rejection stated in Paper No. 13.

On page 3, applicants argue that claims 15, 16, 19 and 20 are patentable because they require that the outer epoxy coating form a crust, which crust is not taught or suggested by the art of record.

The examiner agrees. See section 4, supra.

Application/Control Number: 09/493,530 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Final Rejection

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If you cannot reach the Examiner by telephone, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 703/308-4251. The general fax number for A.U. 1772 is 703/305-5436. The after final fax number is 703/872-9310. The receptionist answers 703/308-0661.

S. M. Nolan

Patent Examiner

5. M. Nola

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 09493530(15) September 18, 2002